



## Report to the Auburn City Council

Action Item
Agenda Item No. 7
City Manager's Approval

**To:** Mayor and City Council Members  
**From:** Will Wong, Community Development Director  
**By:** Reg Murray, Senior Planner *RM*  
**Date:** March 9, 2009  
**Subject:** Annual SACOG *Blueprint* Project Comparison (2009 Report)

### The Issue

Informational item only

### Conclusions and Recommendations

No action is required.

### Background

On January 22, 2007, the Auburn City Council passed a resolution directing that the Community Development Department, on an annual basis, provide the Auburn Planning Commission and the Auburn City Council with a comparison of city land-use plans and implementation of the land use goals as set out in SACOG's (Sacramento Council of Governments) *Blueprint*. The Planning Commission reviewed the *Blueprint* comparison report (below) on February 17, 2009.

The *Blueprint* project employs good growth concepts which are widely accepted to encourage more livable communities (see Attachment 1). The *Blueprint* includes seven basic principles:

- Provide a variety of transportation choices
- Offer a variety of housing choices and opportunities
- Take advantage of compact development to encourage walking, biking and transit use
- Use existing assets (i.e. infill and redevelopment)
- Mixed land uses to create vital neighborhoods
- Preserve open space, farmland, natural beauty, through natural resources conservation
- Encourage distinctive, attractive communities with quality design

In the City of Auburn, it is generally more difficult for projects to meet the principles established with the *Blueprint*. The *Blueprint* principles are best employed with master planned development or with larger projects where they can more effectively be tied into the design of the project. The majority of development projects within the City are typically smaller in size (e.g. 10-15 lots subdivisions), making it tougher to utilize the *Blueprint* principles to their best effect.

Due to the economic climate in 2008, the City only processed a couple projects that meet, or will meet, some of the intent behind the *Blueprint*:

1. **Mixed Use Projects** - The City processed one mixed use project in 2008 (i.e. the Mascorro Building). This three-story building in Old Town included a restaurant on the ground floor, a bar on the second floor, and a single residential unit on the third floor.
2. **Baltimore Ravine Specific Plan (BRSP)** – The City received an application in 2007 to process a specific plan for the Baltimore Ravine area in south Auburn. The proponent is aware of the *Blueprint* and is actively working to incorporate its principles into his project. The initial proposal included several features that reflect principles from the *Blueprint* including: a Village Center; a high percentage of high-density residential (HDR) units; the location of the HDR units near the Village Center; a variety of land use densities; a large open space area; and the provision of bike lanes and a pedestrian/bike trail system. The proponent is currently in the process of revising the scope of the BRSP, so it is expected that various aspects of the plan, including those associated with the *Blueprint*, will be modified as the BRSP moves forward.

**Alternatives Available; Implications of Alternatives**

No action is required

**Fiscal Impact**

Not applicable

**Attachments**

1. SACOG Blueprint Information

**SACOG Blueprint Project**

In order to meet the blueprint objectives, SACOG encourages that future development be concentrated to make better use of transportation systems, reduce vehicle trips, minimize air quality impacts and limit encroachment on agriculture and open space lands in the region. This is achieved by providing higher density/more compact infill and Greenfield development at densities around 15.3 dwelling units per acre (du/ac). The vast majority of all existing housing in Placer County (including the cities) is built at densities less than 7 du/ac. If Placer County (including the cities) built out according to the General Plans, over half of the County would be at very low densities with an average density of 1.74 du/ac.

The Blueprint Project found that by changing the way development proceeds in the region in the future would result in substantial tangible benefits. Following is a brief overview of some of the key benefits:

**Growth Through Reinvestment**

Under the Base Case SACOG found that virtually all new development would be focused on vacant land. The Preferred Scenario suggests that 13 percent of all new housing and ten percent of all new jobs would occur through reinvestment. By providing a component that concentrates on infill/redevelopment, communities can take advantage of existing resources and ensure that existing older areas remain vital.

**Reduction in the amount of agricultural or open space conversion**

Under the Base Case, new development would need an additional 661 square miles of land by the year 2050. By encouraging compact development, the amount of vacant land would be reduced by more than half to 304 square miles. Of the vacant land needed to accommodate new development in the Base Case, 166 square miles of agricultural land would be potentially converted. However, under the Preferred Scenario, the number of agricultural acres would be reduced by 64 square miles to 102 square miles.

**Increase in areas with good or excellent pedestrian features**

In the Base Case, 34 percent of people in the region would live in pedestrian friendly neighborhoods. In the Preferred Scenario, the number would jump to 69 percent.

**Increase in areas where people live in proximity to jobs**

Under the Base Case Scenario, 26 percent of people would live in communities with a good or balanced mix of land uses by 2050. In the Preferred Scenario 53 percent would live in balanced communities.

**Reduction in vehicle miles traveled**

By designing development to encourage alternative modes of travel (walking, biking, transit), the number of trips taken by car would be reduced by ten percent. The number vehicle miles traveled per day would decrease from 47.2 miles to 34.9 miles. Total time devoted to travel per household per day declines from 81 minutes to 67 minutes.

**Reduction in Air Quality Impacts**

With the Preferred Scenario there would be 14 percent less per capita of carbon dioxide (greenhouse gas) and particulates (related to asthma) compared to the Base Case.

## SACOG Blueprint Project and Auburn's General Plan

Below lists the Blueprint Project growth principles and which items in Auburn's General Plan Implementation Work Program promote the principle.

### TRANSPORTATION CHOICES

Community design can help encourage people to walk, ride bicycles, ride the bus, ride light rail, take the train or car-pool. For example, streets can be designed to include dedicated bike lanes or special lanes for bus rapid transit. Community design can encourage people to make more trips closer to home, making walking or biking easier. As more people walk, bike, or ride the bus, congestion and air pollution are reduced.

1. Develop Transportation Systems Management Program (promote showers in businesses etc.).
2. Develop Trip Reduction Implementation Program. Ordinance adopted March 8, 1993.
3. Prepare Transit Master Plan. Short Range Transit Plan adopted May 23, 2005. Long Range Transit Plan under development.
4. Update Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan.
5. Implement the Auburn Park Conservancy Non-Auto Circulation Plan and the Auburn Ravine Trail Master Plan. Bike Master Plan adopted 6/28/04.
6. Coordinate RTP and Congestion Management Plan with Placer County.
7. Adopt the street standards contained in the General Plan. New street standards adopted - 3/13/06.
8. Use Auburn Urban Development Agency for circulation system improvements.
9. Promote establishment of Rail Transit Station. Rail Transit Station completed February, 2004.
10. Create and implement a joint City/County Traffic Mitigation Fee (TMF) Program.

### HOUSING CHOICES

Providing a variety of places where people can live – apartments, condominiums, townhouses, and single-family detached homes – creates opportunities for the variety of people who need them – families, singles, seniors, and people with special needs. This issue is of special concern for the very low-, low-, and moderate-income people for whom finding housing, especially housing close to work, is challenging. By providing a diversity of housing options, more people have a choice.

1. Minimum densities. Amend zoning ordinance to insure minimum densities in the R-2 and R-3 zone districts.
2. Pursue available and appropriate State and Federal funding sources for very low-, low-, and moderate-income households.
3. Promote second units.
4. Facilitate construction of affordable rental housing for very low- and low-income seniors.
5. Amend the Zoning Ordinance to allow residential care facilities in the multi-family residential (R-3) and central business district (C-2) zones.

## COMPACT DEVELOPMENT

Creating environments that are more compactly built and use space in an efficient but more aesthetic manner can encourage more walking, biking, and public transit use.

## USE EXISTING ASSETS

Focusing development in communities with vacant land or intensifying development of underutilized land can make better use of public infrastructure, including roads. Building on existing assets can also mean refurbishing historic buildings or clustering buildings more densely in suburban office parks.

1. Pursue implementation of Auburn Urban Development Authority Redevelopment Plan.
2. Use Auburn Urban Development Agency for circulation system improvements.
3. Identify areas suitable for redevelopment.
4. Implement the Historic District Development Guidelines and continue implementation of the Auburn Main Street Design Guidelines.

## MIXED LAND USES

Building homes together with small businesses or even light industry is called “mixed-use” development, and it has proven to create active, vital neighborhoods. There are many examples of this type of development: a housing project near an employment center; a small shopping center near houses; or a high-rise building with ground-floor retail and apartments or condominiums upstairs. Mixed-use development near transit can boost ridership.

## NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION

Our quality of life is better when we have clean air to breathe and water to drink, and when we can experience the outdoors – in parks and greenbelts or in natural places. To ensure healthy and attractive natural environments we must preserve and maintain our open spaces and natural places and conserve the most productive farmland. Community design can help accomplish this by encouraging energy-efficient design, water conservation and storm water management, and the planting of shade trees to reduce ground temperatures in the summer.

1. Prepare/adopt Tree Ordinance.
2. Prepare/adopt Open Space Ordinance to zone open space use in perpetuity.
3. Prepare/adopt Stream, Canal and Waterway Protection Ordinance.
4. Prepare/adopt Habitat Management Plan.
5. Maintain Parks Standard of 5 acres/1,000 pop.
6. Provide for recreational opportunities in Baltimore Ravine through the Southwest Specific Plan.

## QUALITY DESIGN

How projects are developed, how they are oriented in relationship to the street, how well designed their facades are, if they have setbacks and where their garages are placed, all

contribute to a community's attractiveness. This also influences how much people like to walk or bike and contributes to community pride and sense of ownership.

1. Prepare design guidelines for commercial/industrial development.
2. Pursue implementation of Auburn Urban Development Authority Redevelopment Plan.
3. Identify areas suitable for redevelopment.
4. Implement the Historic District Development Guidelines and continue implementation of the Auburn Main Street Design Guidelines.